

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/828,509	04/20/2004		Kishor J. Patel	KPT-32096(1)	4314
22202	7590 07/06	/2005		EXAM	INER
WHYTE HIRSCHBOECK DUDEK S C			MICHALSKY, GERALD A		
555 EAST V	VELLS STREET				
SUITE 1900				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MILWAUK	EE. WI 53202			3753	

DATE MAILED: 07/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/828,509	PATEL, KISHOR J.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Gerald A. Michalsky	3753				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from the period for reply specific in the period for reply specific in the specific property in the spec	E OF THIS COMMUNICATION available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 in the mailing date of this communication. fied above is less than thirty (30) days, a rescified above, the maximum statutory period to rextended period for reply will, by status.	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tle, cause the application to become ABANDONE ing date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed rs will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is I	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
• —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims			•				
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)		awn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) Applicant may r Replacement di	ot request that any objection to th awing sheet(s) including the corre	ner. a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob Examiner. Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C	s. § 119						
12) Acknowledgme a) All b) So 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies applicat	ent is made of a claim for foreigome * c) None of: I copies of the priority document copies of the priority document the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document the certified copies of the priority document the linternational Bure	nts have been received in Applicat fority documents have been receive	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References C		4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/828,509 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The drawings are objected to because Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, and 17 each contain multiple views. Each view should be given a separate figure number, such as Fig. 1A, Fig. 1B, etc., and the text of the specification should be modified accordingly. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,509 Page 3

Art Unit: 3753

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-19, 21-22, 28-30, and 39-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kimble. Power valve module 19 of Kimble is read as a "valve base module". Passage 27, shown in Figure 19 of Kimble, is read as a "tank core passage". Regarding claims 8-11 and 41-43 the recitations of "bottom" and "top" merely relate to intended use. For example, the left sides (toward the bottom of the patent page) of modules 19 in Figure 25 of Kimble may be read as "bottoms" and the right sides (toward the top of the patent page) of modules 19 may be read as "tops". Regarding claim 16, signal flow switching modules 3-A or 3-B of Kimble are read as a "pilot control module". Regarding claim 28, signal flow pressure limiting module 3 of Kimble is read as a "pressure reducing module".
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 20, 23-27, and 31-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimble. These claims are considered met by Kimble except for proportional operation, a power supply module, a thermally insulating module, a diagnostic module, and a load sense module. It would have been obvious to a person

of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide for proportional operation and for the various modules since proportional operation and the functional features of the various modules would have been desirable to a person of ordinary skill in the art, and because applicant asserts no particular criticality in proportional operation or in the specific functional modules indicated.

- 7. Claims 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimble in view of Meyer et al. These claims are considered met by Kimble except for a position feedback sensor module. It would have been obvious in view of the position feedback sensor 90 of Meyer et al to provide a position feedback sensor for the valve spool in module 19 of Kimble so as to provide for position feedback control.
- 8. Claims 37-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kimble in view of Knutson et al. It would have been obvious in view of manual override 20 of Knutson et al to provide a manual override for the valve spool in module 19 of Kimble to provide for manual operation in the event of, for example, power failure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gerald A. Michalsky whose telephone number is (571) . 272-4917. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 AM - 2 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on (571) 272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gerald A. Michalsky Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753

GM